



**City of Kingston
Kingston Heritage Properties Committee
Meeting Number 03-2024
Minutes**

**Wednesday, February 21, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.
Hosted at City Hall in Council Chamber**

Committee Members Present

Councillor Glenn, Chair
Councillor Oosterhof
Jennifer Demitor
Peter Gower
Gunnar Heissler
Alex Legnini

Regrets

Jane McFarlane
Daniel Rose
Ann Stevens

Staff Members Present

Kevin Gibbs, Director, Heritage Services
Niki Kensit, Heritage Planner
Joel Konrad, Manager, Heritage Planning
Ryan Leary, Senior Heritage Planner
Alan McLeod, Senior Legal Counsel & Deputy City Solicitor
Derek Ochej, Deputy City Clerk
Phil Prell, Intermediate Heritage Planner
Iain Sullivan, Committee Clerk

Others Present

Members of the public were present.

This is not a verbatim report.

Meeting to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

Approval of the Agenda

Moved by Ms. Demitor

Seconded by Mr. Legnini

That the agenda be approved.

Carried

Confirmation of Minutes

Moved by Mr. Legnini

Seconded by Councillor Oosterhof

That the minutes of Kingston Heritage Properties Committee Meeting Number 02-2024, held Wednesday, January 24, 2024, be approved.

Carried

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest

There were none.

Delegations

There were none.

Briefings

There were none.

Business

a) Pre-consultation

i. Subject: Request for Information

Address: 5 Lower Union Street

File Number: P01-004-2023

Rui Felix, Agent for the Applicant, was present and conducted a PowerPoint presentation regarding the Request for Information – 5 Lower Union Street. A copy of the presentation is available upon request through the City Clerk's Department.

Mr. Heissler asked about the potential measures looked at to ensure that the water quality in the drydock basin was maintained. Councillor Glenn stated that that was a planning matter and out of the scope of the Committee.

Mr. Gower expressed interest in the number of views that would be impacted by the developed. He noted that the SS Keewatin was now in the drydock and would be a major tourist attraction for the area. He further noted that the immediate vicinity had significant Indigenous history and asked what steps had been taken regarding it. He inquired about what the potential impacts would be on the nearby heritage buildings. Mr. Felix noted that additional views will be presented in the future Heritage Impact Statement. He confirmed that the project and the City were in talks with local Indigenous groups regarding the development. He commented that the development's landscaping would help connect the waterfront heritage sites together.

Councillor Oosterhof expressed excitement for the development. He asked if thought had been given to the exterior design of the building due to its location. Mr. Felix stated that the developer, Homestead, was very enthusiastic about the proposal. He confirmed that the architects were paying attention to the form of the building and its materials to ensure a decent fit in the area.

Ms. Demitor expressed concern about how the area's heritage could be highlighted in the development. She stated that the area can feel disconnected from the rest of the city and noted her interest in how the development could help fix that. Mr. Felix agreed with Ms. Demitor and stated that the landscaping provided an opportunity for greater connections.

Councillor Glenn echoed the comments regarding the impact of the SS Keewatin and how the new development will fit into the area.

The Chair provided an opportunity for members of the public to speak.

Don Taylor commented that the height of the proposed tower was inappropriate for the site. He stated that the neighbouring buildings were around the sixfloor mark making the 19-floor proposal out of scope with the neighbourhood. He expressed concern that the proposal was going ahead in its current form.

Shirley Bailey agreed with what members of the public and Committee had started on the proposal. She pointed to the Heritage Impact Statement of March 2021 which stated that the project had no heritage impacts and asked for an explanation how this was possible.

In response to the public comments Mr. Felix explained that there would be no heritage impacts on the physical property as there are no heritage features present. He noted that there would be impacts to neighbouring sites which they were hoping to minimize.

- b) Policy Development and Implementation**
- c) Stream Two Permits – Approval through Delegated Authority**
- d) Stream Three Permits – Approval through Council Authority**
 - i. Subject: Application for Heritage Permit**
 - Address: 47 Wellington Street**
 - File Number: P18-096-2023**

Mr. Prell introduced the application.

Councillor Glenn noted the large amount of asphalt proposed on the property and noted that it did not seem to be in keeping of the heritage district. Mr. Prell highlighted that many trees were to be maintained and more planted which would reduce the amount of asphalt. He noted that private backyards were the concern of the property owner.

Councillor Oosterhof agreed with Councillor Glenn. He asked if a permeable material could be used to soften the appearance of the driveway. Mr. Prell noted that the material used would have to be examined with a heritage lens as opposed to an environmental one. He stated that the visual aspect was a heritage impact. He further noted that the amount of space in the rear of the property would lessen the impact.

Ms. Demitor noted her concern regarding the elevator overrun tower's colour. She expressed a preference if the colour of the tower and the existing heritage tower could be different. Peter Sauerbrei, Agent for the Applicant, explained that the debate between solutions for the colour had been intensive. He stated that a lighter colour had the effect of making the overrun tower blend into the background.

The Chair provided an opportunity for members of the public to speak.

Don Taylor asked for clarification on why the elevator overrun was still required, pointing to the reduction in floors in the rear from four to three. He asked about the size required for machinery in the overrun.

Shirley Bailey asked for a further explanation on why the overrun was needed for the elevator. She noted her general approval for the project.

In response to the public comments Mr. Prell explained that the amenity space on the roof required there to be an accessible way onto that level. Mr. Sauerbrei agreed with Mr. Prell and noted that elevators are required to have an overrun as a safety feature and to ensure proper functioning.

Mr. Heissler asked if the applicants had considered housing the mechanics internally and removing the need for a mechanical penthouse. Mr. Sauerbrei explained the mechanics were planned to be internal. He noted that the overrun tower would be empty of machinery.

Moved by Ms. Demitor

Seconded by Councillor Oosterhof

That the Heritage Properties Committee supports Council approval of the following:

That alterations to the property at 47 Wellington Street, be approved in accordance with details as described in the application (File Number: P18-096-2023), which was deemed complete on January 4, 2024 with said alterations to include the construction of a rear yard, four-storey flat-roofed addition attached to the existing former schoolhouse and landscape/schoolhouse alterations, specifically:

1. Rear Addition:
 - a. The addition will include 11 of the 17 condominium units;
 - b. The design includes a service elevator/staircase to the roof top amenity space/mechanical units approximately 4 metres above the four-storey addition parapet wall and approximately 5 metres about the roof of the rear addition;
 - c. The roof will include glass guards, solid parapet walls and a fenced mechanical unit screen approximately 0.7 metres taller than the guards and wall;
 - d. The addition will be clad in exterior insulation and finish system (EIFS), fiber cement shiplap siding and/or stone masonry;

- e. The design includes multiple modern windows on each storey and glazed doors at grade with associated canopies;
 - f. Installation of four LED wall lights along entrances at grade;
2. Landscaping:
- a. The rear of the property will be paved in asphalt to accommodate up to 17 parking spaces;
 - b. The asphalt area will also include sidewalks, a charging station, accessible parking signage and a parking lot light fixture;
 - c. The northeastern alcove will include a 2.4 metre tall, 3.9 metre wide and 1.4 metre deep structure attached to the schoolhouse that will house 14 bicycles;
 - d. A relocated transformer and a new fire hydrant will be located in the northern corner;
 - e. The southwestern elevation will support three outdoor amenity spaces at grade;
 - f. Various tree removals and replacements are necessary to reconfigure the site;
 - g. Removal of three concrete planters;
 - h. Removal of the concrete vault on the northeastern elevation;
 - i. Installation of four bollard style LED light fixtures along the northwestern elevation to highlight the building;
 - j. Installation of 11 LED bollards and one LED pole mounted parking light to illuminate the parking lot and driveway;
 - k. Replacement of existing northeastern fencing with new wood fencing approximately 1.9 metre tall;
3. Schoolhouse:
- a. The schoolhouse will include 6 of the 17 condominium units;
 - b. The existing wooden front steps will be replaced with textured concrete stairs in a grey tone, but will maintain/restore the existing metal handrail/limestone retaining walls;
 - c. The Period Windows that make up the northwestern façade will be repaired/repainted to the greatest extent possible;

- d. The replacement of 38 non-period windows will occur on all elevations of the building with metal-clad wood windows that match the existing window patterns/styles, where appropriate, and with modern style windows, where appropriate;
- e. Installation of new dark coloured asphalt architectural shingles similar to the existing;
- f. To accommodate the rear addition, portions of the enclosed rear wall will be opened/enlarged while two rear yard facing dormers and existing windows/doors will be removed;
- g. The rear yard facing roof will be modified to support a shed dormer with modern windows and fiber cement shiplap siding attached to the four-storey addition;
- h. Portions of select rear (southeast) facing openings with stone will be infilled and recessed to accommodate new windows;
- i. Repair/replacement of the main front door with a new wooden door with glazing, and repair the arched transoms above;
- j. Replacement of eavestroughs/downspouts with a similar grey aluminum product;
- k. Installation of one wall-mounted LED light on the building;
- l. Installation of a firehose outlet near grade on the northern most double bay on the northwestern façade;
- m. Removal of a portion of a small retaining wall along the north elevation while salvaging the masonry to repair the schoolhouse;
- n. Reinstatement of tower cresting based on historical photographs;
- o. Repair of existing wooden features as needed, with like materials while matching existing profiles and repaint in a light grey tone;
- p. Repair/repoint of masonry as needed; and

That the approval of the alterations be subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That Heritage Planning staff review/approve the finalized material/design/location of the proposed bicycle parking structure and sidewalk, prior to installation;

2. That Heritage Planning staff review/approve the finalized design/location and installation strategy of the proposed firehose attachment, prior to installation;
3. That details related to the colour(s) of the new windows/trim, roofing and rear addition cladding be submitted to Heritage Planning staff for review/approval, prior to installation;
4. That details related to the final cladding materials be submitted to Heritage Planning staff for review/approval, prior to installation;
5. That Heritage Planning staff be provided an opportunity to review/comment on the exterior building lighting performance, once installed, to confirm no negative impacts to the heritage attributes of the property;
6. That Heritage Planning staff be consulted on the installation strategy of the LED light fixture attached to the schoolhouse prior to installation;
7. That the transformer on the northern portion of the property be screened with foliage/trees, and that Heritage Planning staff review/approve the species/location/age of the proposed foliage/trees, prior to installation;
8. That the new tower cresting be designed to match the profile of the original, as shown in historic photographs, and be subtly dated with the year of creation;
9. All replacement windows shall sit within existing openings without the use of “in-fill” windows, and all muntin bars shall be on the exterior of the glass;
10. Infilling portions of southeast facing door openings with matching limestone, recessed approximately 7centimetres, to accommodate new windows. The other opening will be blinded;
11. That the existing limestone knee-walls with arched basement access opening and metal railing that form part of the front stairs, be repaired/retained in their existing profile, as needed;
12. That the proposed “textile warning indicator” strips on the replaced front steps, be a dark (non vibrant) colour in accordance with accessibility requirements, as applicable;

13. That the new concrete steps have a grey tone to minimize contrast with limestone patina;
14. That as much of the small southeast elevation masonry wall be retained as possible while still allowing for safe access, and salvage the rest for use on the property;
15. That the owner retain a qualified heritage carpenter/joiner to assess the condition of the existing main front doors to determine the extent of the deterioration and feasibility of their repair, to the satisfaction of Heritage Planning staff. Should the doors be beyond a reasonable ability to repair, their replacement with new wooden doors that mirror the style, proportions, detailing and material of the existing doors shall be permitted, with glazing only permitted in the top panels;
16. Should any Period Windows or transoms require replacement, the request shall be accompanied with a window assessment by a qualified professional for each related window in according with the existing Window Policy prior to their removal/replacement;
17. That all repairs to wooden features be done with like materials and match existing features in scale and profile;
18. All window works shall be completed in accordance with the City's Policy on Window Renovations in Heritage Buildings;
19. All masonry works shall be completed in accordance with the City's Policy on Masonry Restoration in Heritage Buildings; and
20. Any minor deviations from the submitted plans, which meet the intent of this approval and does not further impact the heritage attributes of the property, shall be delegated to the Director of Heritage Services for review and approval.

Carried

ii. Subject: Application for Heritage Permit

Address: 141 King Street East

File Number: P18-004-2024

Mr. Prell introduced the application.

Ms. Demitor noted her general agreement with the proposal but noted her concern regarding the new windows in the existing limestone wall. She asked if the applicants

had looked at other options. She further asked if the windows could be sited above the limestone wall completely. Ben Pilon, Owner, confirmed that they had investigated other options and had initially planned on removing the upper layer and adding siding. He stated that they wished to keep the roofline the same as it is constructed currently which limited where they could place windows.

Mr. Gower noted the difficulties experienced on the site visit due to the nature of the site. He expressed hope that the Heritage Impact Statement was accurate and that as many heritage features as possible were preserved.

Mr. Heissler noted that he was troubled by the punched windows. He stated that the architect should be encouraged to find an alternative solution like skylights. He pointed to the stainless-steel flue proposed and stated that it should not be permitted to be steel above the roofline. He further stated that he could not agree with the proposal. Mr. Pilon stated that they had explored the use of skylights but decided against them. He noted that there was a desire to maintain the look of the carriage house as much as possible. He commented on the state of disrepair the building was in. He confirmed that the flue would not extend past the roof and was designed to be easily removed if the firepits it services prove to be unpopular.

The Chair provided an opportunity for members of the public to speak.

Don Taylor expressed general support for the project. He expressed concern about the treatment of the carriage house and the impacts on the courtyard of the building. He asked for reassurance that the integrity of the stone walls would be preserved while the repairs and excavations take place. He stated that five units would have been more supportable than 10 due to the changes required. He asked if the applicant had thought of building a new second floor instead.

In response to the public comments Mr. Pilon highlighted previous successes in redeveloping limestone heritage buildings. He confirmed that a certified engineer would be on the premises during major construction work. He stated that a second floor had been rejected as they wanted to preserve the roofline of the carriage house. He stated that 10 units were required to achieve a return on investment on the project.

Moved by Ms. Demitor

Seconded by Mr. Legnini

That the Heritage Properties Committee supports Council approval of the following:

That alterations to the property at 141 King Street East, be approved in accordance with details as described application (File Number: P18-004-2024), which was deemed complete on January 25, 2024 said include

restoration/alteration of rear elevation main building and carriage house well alter yard, specifically:

1. Rear Elevation of the Main Building:
 - a. A previously bricked in door opening will be reinstated and one existing window opening will be enlarged while extending associated brick headers to support modern doors and/or a window;
 - b. Blinding of two openings while retaining existing surrounds;
 - c. Replacement of an existing garage door with modern doors/windows and metal accents;
 - d. Installation of a new fire pit against the base of the rear elevation;
 - e. Installation of a stainless-steel flue for the associated firepit along the entire height of the rear elevation;
 - f. Attachment of two concrete decks with associated staircases and concrete pillars;
 - g. Installation of six surface mounted down lights;
 - h. Removal of a non original rear elevation chimney;
 - i. Repair of all Period Windows;
 - j. Repair rear elevation masonry, as needed;
2. Carriage House Alterations:
 - a. Installation of new wood doors and aluminum windows in all major existing/proposed openings that face the rear yard;
 - b. Exposure of additional foundation/building wall on the northwestern façade due to adjustments to grade;
 - c. Creation of additional openings on the northwestern façade below existing openings that are in similar dimensions to the existing;
 - d. Extension of an existing window opening on the southwestern elevation to accommodate a door;
 - e. Blinding of an existing window opening on the southwestern elevation with metal charcoal siding;
 - f. Addition of concrete underpinnings along the newly proposed grade;
 - g. Repainting/repair of the wooden frame of the dormer surrounds;

- h. Replacement of the blinded dormer window with a painted wooden window;
 - i. Replacement of the partially blinded opening along the northern and southernmost rear yard facing openings with aluminum modern windows, wooden doors, and/or dark stained wood siding;
 - j. Addition of nine new down lights;
 - k. Installation of a new storage structure with charcoal flat profile metal siding and a concrete base that abuts the carriage house with an associated patio, wood trellis/screen and mechanical unit above;
 - l. The creation of 10 new openings along the rear (eastern) elevation facing Ontario Street that will accommodate steel fire rated windows;
 - m. Repair masonry, as needed;
3. Rear Yard Alterations:
- a. Reduce the grade of the rear yard within the width of the main building to accommodate an updated landscaping strategy;
 - b. Installation of two hot tubs on the northeastern portion of the rear yard;
 - c. Installation of a sauna on the southwestern portion of the rear yard;
 - d. Installation of a new reinforced concrete wall abutting an existing concrete wall;
 - e. Installation of a small concrete retaining wall between the main building and carriage house with charcoal metal louvers and black steel flat bar fencing above;
 - f. Installation of a seating area surrounding the fire pit, various planters and ground-oriented lights; and
 - g. Installation of four new trees;

That the approval of the alterations be subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the applicant provides written permission from all property owners whose lands will be altered to support the proposed work prior to this permit being in effect;
- 2. That the applicant consider best conservation/maintenance practices related to those portions of the property that will be exposed to moisture/temperatures changes or interacts with organic matter;

3. That the applicant consider retaining as much of the rear elevation masonry proposed for removal to support the expanded window opening as possible;
4. That the applicant consider not expanding the voussoirs on the rear elevation to avoid legibility concerns;
5. That the applicant consider the creation of a Temporary Protection Plan in consultation with their retained structural engineer and heritage consultant;
6. That the applicant consider an alternative acceptable cladding for the storage shed as listed in section 5.3.3 in the HCD Plan;
7. That the two blinded windows use recessed brick infill for legibility purposes;
8. That the removed limestone masonry units be retained for future property maintenance;
9. That the finalized colour of wood elements on the carriage house and rear elevation be provided to Heritage Planning staff for review/approval prior to installation;
10. That the finalized lighting strategy, including the location of associated wiring, be provided to Heritage Planning staff for review/approval prior to installation;
11. That the carriage house and storage structure concrete underpinnings be a colour sympathetic to the limestone patina, while also remaining visually distinct;
12. Should any additional masonry wall openings or roof alterations be required on the carriage house to support the project, that those details shall be provided to Heritage Planning staff prior to alteration for review/approval;
13. That the stainless-steel flue associated with the fire pit does not exceed the height of the mansard roof;
14. That interior/exterior photos of the southwestern elevation of the carriage house and roof top photos of the chimney proposed for removal be provided to Heritage Planning staff prior to their alteration for documentation purposes;
15. That the attachment of the concrete platforms/retaining wall to the rear elevation and the attachment of the carriage house to the addition's concrete foundation use a bond breaker to ensure maximum reversibility;
16. That the new openings on the carriage house that face the rear yard be the same width as the existing openings;

17. That the finalized design/installation strategy of the carriage house windows visible from Ontario Street, the storage shed/trellis and fire pit (and its related water feature) be provided to Heritage Planning staff for review/approval prior to installation;
18. That all repairs to wooden features be done with like materials and match existing features in scale and profile;
19. Should any Period Windows on the rear elevation of the main building require replacement, the applicant shall provide an assessment by a qualified heritage professional that is reviewed/approved by Heritage Planning staff prior to removal;
20. All window works shall be completed in accordance with the City's Policy on Window Renovations in Heritage Buildings;
21. All masonry works shall be completed in accordance with the City's Policy on Masonry Restoration in Heritage Buildings;
22. That all necessary studies, permits and survey information (i.e. Grading Plan, Stormwater Management Plan and Tree Permit, Load Calculation, Down Stream Sewer Assessment, etc.) be completed/provided to the satisfaction of the City prior to commencing related works;
23. That the applicant ensures all structures remain sound during and post construction works;
24. A Building Permit shall be completed, as necessary;
25. All Planning Act applications and Pre-Applications shall be completed, as necessary;
26. Heritage Services staff shall be circulated the drawings and design specifications tied to the Building Permit and Planning Act applications for review and approval to ensure consistency with the scope of the Heritage Permit sought by this application; and
27. Any minor deviations from the submitted plans, which meet the intent of this approval and does not further impact the heritage attributes of the property, shall be delegated to the Director of Heritage Services for review and approval.

Carried

- e) **Notice of Intention to Designate under the Ontario Heritage Act**
- i. **Addresses: 2045 Middle Road, 281 Princess Street, 322 Division Street, 3578 Highway 38, 384 Division Street, 390 King Street East / 42 Queen Street, 3994 Howes Road, 605-607 Bagot Street, 45 Charles Street, 75-77 Princess Street / 52-56 Queen Street and 84 Yonge Street**

Mr. Leary introduced the report.

The Committee did not provide comment.

The Chair provided an opportunity for members of the public to speak. There were no comments received from members of the public.

Moved by Mr. Gower

Seconded by Mr. Heissler

That Kingston Heritage Properties Committee recommends to Council:

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 2045 Middle Road, known as the Clarke House, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 2045 Middle Road, attached as Exhibit B to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 281 Princess Street, known as Turk's Furniture Store, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 281 Princess Street, attached as Exhibit C to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 322 Division Street as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 322 Division Street, attached as Exhibit D to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 3578 Highway 38, known as the Vanluven Farmstead, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 3578 Highway 38, attached as Exhibit E to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 384 Division Street, known as the Hoagie House, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 384 Division Street, attached as Exhibit F to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 390 King Street East/42 Queen Street, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of

Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 390 King Street East/42 Queen Street, attached as Exhibit G to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 3994 Howes Road, known as the Stevens Farmhouse, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 3994 Howes Road, attached as Exhibit H to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 605-607 Bagot Street, known as the Calvary Church, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 605-607 Bagot Street, attached as Exhibit I to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 45 Charles Street, known as the Calvary Church, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 45 Charles Street, attached as Exhibit I to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 75-77 Princess Street/52-56 Queen Street, known as the Moore House,

as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 75-77 Princess Street/52-56 Queen Street, attached as Exhibit J to Report Number HP-24-009, be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act; and

That Council direct staff to serve a Notice of Intention to Designate the property located at 84 Yonge Street, known as McCammon Bakery, as a property of cultural heritage value or interest pursuant to Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, attached as Exhibit A to Report Number HP-24-009; and

That should no Notice of Objection be received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Kingston within thirty (30) days of the publication of the Notice of Intention to Designate, the Designation By-Law for 84 Yonge Street, attached as Exhibit K to Report Number HP-24-009 be presented to Council for all three readings, and that staff be directed to carry out the requirements as prescribed under Section 29(8) of the Act.

Carried

- f) Working Groups**
- g) Permit Approvals / Status Updates**
 - i. Permit Reporting to Committee**

The Committee did not provide comment.

Motions

There were none.

Notices of Motion

There were none.

Other Business

There was none.

Correspondence

There was none.

Date and time of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Kingston Heritage Properties Committee is scheduled for
Wednesday, March 20, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

Adjournment

Moved by Ms. Demitor

Seconded by Mr. Legnini

That the meeting of the Kingston Heritage Properties Committee adjourn at 10:35 a.m.

Carried